



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa,
SCO No. 88, District Shopping centre,
Ranjeet Revenue, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Sh. Ravinder Kumar (XEN) (Regd. Post)
O/o Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.3587 of 2019

Present: None

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 06.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

Information Sought:

1. Please provide certified copy of work order for the above said.
 2. Please provide certified copy of Measurement Book (M.B) for the above said.
 3. Please provide certified copy of maps/naksha of the development work carried out in above said.
 4. Please provide certified copy of estimate of the above said.
 5. Please provide Name and details of firm carried out development works in above said.
2. Both the parties are absent. The appellant neither bothered to attend the hearing or communicate in any other manner with this Commission or the respondent PIO, the Commission sees, no further cause for action.
 3. On other hand, the respondent authority is directed to submit proof of having compensated (Rs. 2000/-) the appellant. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case any submission regarding the receiving of compensation amount. Accordingly, **this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa,
SCO No. 88, District Shopping centre,
Ranjeet Revenue, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.3593 of 2019

Present: None

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 06.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

Information Sought:

to seek information regarding Development works carried out (final)/running out in Amritsar East Constituency from period 1-3-2012 till 10-8-2018. Please also provide name of Firms/Agency and Societies who had carried out (final)/running works.

2. Both the parties are absent. The appellant neither bothered to attend the hearing or communicate in any other manner with this Commission or the respondent PIO, the Commission sees, no further cause for action.
3. Penalty amount of Rs. 50000/- has been paid by the respondent authority. Copy of chalan dated 07.01.2021 is received by the bench of undersigned.
4. On other hand, the respondent authority is directed to submit proof of having compensated (Rs. 2000/-) the appellant. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case any submission regarding the receiving of compensation amount. Accordingly, **this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa,
SCO No. 88, District Shopping centre,
Ranjeet Revenue, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust,
Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.3594 of 2019

Present: None

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 06.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

Information Sought:

to seek information regarding Development works carried out (final) by M.P Land Funds in Amritsar period 1-3-2012 till 15-12-2014. Please also provide name of Firms/Agency and Societies who had carried out (final)/running works.

2. Both the parties are absent. The appellant neither bothered to attend the hearing or communicate in any other manner with this Commission or the respondent PIO, the Commission sees, no further cause for action.
3. Penalty amount of Rs. 50000/- has been paid by the respondent authority. Copy e chalalan dated 07.01.2021 is received by the bench of undersigned.
4. On other hand, the respondent authority is directed to submit proof of having compensated (Rs. 2000/-) the appellant .However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case any submission regarding the receiving of compensation amount. Accordingly, **this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner

CC: Sh. Vikram Singh
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

Sh. Harinder Singh Chahal (Executive Officer)
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar.



Sh. Tejbir Singh, (9257304444)

S/o Sh. Ajaib Singh, HJ343,
Housing Board Colony, B.R.S Nagar, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Educational Officer (SE),
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Educational Officer (SE),
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.39 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Dilshar Singh (Clerk),

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 27.10.2020. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

Information Sought:

1) ਮੇਰੇ ਵਲੋਂ ਇਕ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਮਿਤੀ 28-03-2019 ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੀ.ਸੀ.ਐਮ. ਸੀ. ਸੈ. ਸਕੂਲ ਬਸੰਤ ਸਿਟੀ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਤੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਬਿੱਲ The Punjab Regulation Fee of unaided Educational Institution bill no.2016 (Punjab Act no 47, 2016) ਨੂੰ ਅਣਦੇਖਿਆ ਕਰ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ। ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਕਹਿਣਾ ਕਿ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਕੋਲ ਇਸ ਬਿੱਲ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਲਿਖਤ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਉਸਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੇ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਤ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੱਕ ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਸਾਡੇ ਤੋਂ ਕੈਪਿਟੇਸ਼ਨ ਫੰਡ ਦੇ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਕਾਰਜਾਂ ਦੇ ਲਈ ੬੦੦੦ ਰੁਪਏ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਅਸੀਂ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਕਰ ਰਹੇ ਹਾਂ। ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

2) ਫੀਸ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ ਰੂਲ ਨੰਬਰ ੨(ਜੀ) ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ ਹੈ। ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਇਸ ਰੂਲ ਦੀ ਵਿਸਤਾਰ ਪੂਰਵਕ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

3) ਇਸ ਸਕੂਲ ਨੇ EWS (ਆਰਥਿਕ ਕਮਜ਼ੋਰ ਭਾਗ) ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਦਾਖਲ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪੂਰੇ ਵੇਰਵੇ ਸਹਿਤ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

4) ਇਹ ਸਕੂਲ "ਸੇਫ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਾਹਨ ਪੌਲੀਸੀ" ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਨਕਾਰਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਸਬੂਤ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਕੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਬਣਦੀ ਹੈ ਉਹਦੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

5) ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਟੀਚਰਜ਼ ਲਈ ਵੀ ਵਰਦੀ ਲਾਜ਼ਮੀ ਹੈ। ਜੇ ਹੈ ਉਹਦੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

6) ਸਕੂਲ ਬਣਨ ਸੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਨੋਟ ਲੈਣਾ ਲਾਜ਼ਮੀ ਹੈ। ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਕੂਲ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਮੰਜ਼ਲੀ ਬਣਾ ਸਕਦੇ ਨੇ। ਕੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਅੱਗ ਬੁਝਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਯੰਤਰ ਲਾਜ਼ਮੀ ਹਨ ਤੇ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਹੋਣੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ। ਕੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਬਣਾਉਣ ਸਮੇਂ ਵਾਟਰ ਹਰਵੇਸਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਸੁਵਿਧਾ ਰੱਖੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

7) ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਿੰਨੀ ਵਾਰ ਨਿਯਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਪਾਲਣਾ ਦੀ ਜਾਂਚ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਕਿੰਨੀ ਵਾਰ ਫੇਰੀ ਪਾਈ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸਦੀ ਵੇਰਵੇ ਪੂਰਵਕ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

2. Respondent, Sh. Dilshar Singh stated that the sought information has been supplied to the appellant and he is satisfied with the same.
3. The appellant neither bothered to attend the hearing or communicate in any other manner with this Commission or the respondent PIO, the Commission sees, no further cause for action **and this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.**

Compensation proceedings are dropped against the Public Authority.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner